

# NONABELIAN DESCENT ON ENRIQUES SURFACES

DAVID HARARI (JOINT WORK WITH ALEXEI SKOROBOGATOV)

Let  $k$  be a number field. Fix an algebraic closure  $\bar{k}$ .

## 1. A FAMILY OF ENRIQUES SURFACES (GEOMETRY)

Let  $D_1, D_2$  be curves of genus 1, say

$$D_1: y_1^2 = d_1(x^2 - a)(x^2 - ab^2)$$

$$D_2: y_2^2 = d_2(t^2 - a)(t^2 - ac^2)$$

where  $b, c, d_1, d_2 \in k^\times$  and  $a \in k^\times - k^{\times 2}$ , and  $b, c \neq \pm 1$ .

Let  $E_i$  be the Jacobian of  $D_i$  for  $i = 1, 2$ . The elliptic curves  $E_1$  and  $E_2$  have  $E_i(\bar{k})[2] \subset E_i(k)$ . We have the involution  $-1$  on  $D_1$  and on  $D_2$ . Let  $Y$  be the Kummer surface obtained as the minimal desingularization of  $(D_1 \times D_2)/(-1)$ . This is a K3 surface.

Choose rational points  $P \in E_1[2]$  and  $Q \in E_2[2]$ . We have a fixed-point-free involution  $\sigma: Y \rightarrow Y$  induced by  $(x, y) \mapsto (x + P, -y + Q)$  for  $x \in D_1$  and  $y \in D_2$ . An *Enriques surface* is an étale quotient of a K3 surface by a fixed-point-free involution. So  $X := Y/\sigma$  is an Enriques surface. The variety  $Y$  is the minimal smooth projective model of

$$y^2 = d(x^2 - a)(x^2 - ab^2)(t^2 - a)(t^2 - ac^2),$$

and  $\sigma(x, y, t) = (-x, -y, -t)$ .

We have  $H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X) = H^2(X, \mathcal{O}_X) = 0$ , but  $\bar{X} := X \times_k \bar{k}$  is not rational, since it has a  $\mathbb{Z}/2$  étale covering  $\bar{Y}$ . In fact, since a K3 surface is simply connected, we have  $\pi_1(\bar{X}) = \mathbb{Z}/2$ .

**Proposition 1.1.** *Under very mild conditions on  $b, c$ , the elliptic curves  $\bar{E}_1$  and  $\bar{E}_2$  are not isogenous.*

*Proof.* Check that  $j(\bar{E}_1)$  is not integral over  $\mathbb{Z}[j(\bar{E}_2)]$ . □

Assume from now on that  $\bar{E}_1$  and  $\bar{E}_2$  are not isogenous. Then  $\text{Pic}(\bar{D}_1 \times \bar{D}_2) \simeq \text{Pic} \bar{D}_1 \times \text{Pic} \bar{D}_2$ .

We define 24 lines (by which we mean rational curves) on  $\bar{Y}$ . Number the points  $(\pm\sqrt{a}, 0)$  and  $(\pm b\sqrt{a}, 0)$  on  $D_1$  as  $0, 1, 2, 3$ . Number the points  $(\pm\sqrt{a}, 0)$  and  $(\pm c\sqrt{a}, 0)$  on  $D_2$  as  $0, 1, 2, 3$ . Let  $\ell_{ij}$  be the exceptional curve on  $\bar{Y}$  corresponding to the blow-up of  $(i, j) \in (\bar{D}_1 \times \bar{D}_2)/(-1)$ : this gives 16 lines. Let  $\ell_i$  be the proper transform of  $(i \times \bar{D}_2)/(-1)$ , and let  $s_j$  be the proper transform of  $(\bar{D}_1 \times j)/(-1)$ . Let  $U' = (D_1 - \{y_1 = 0\}) \times (D_2 - \{y_2 = 0\})$  and  $V' = U'/(-1)$ . Then  $V'$  is the complement of the 24 lines on  $Y$ .

**Proposition 1.2.** *We have  $\text{Pic} \bar{V}' = 0$  (so  $\text{Pic} \bar{Y}$  is generated by the 24 lines).*

*Proof.* Use Proposition 1.1 and the Hochschild-Serre spectral sequence associated to  $\bar{U}' \rightarrow \bar{V}'$ . □

---

*Date:* July 26, 2007.

Let  $L = k(\sqrt{a})$ .

*Remark 1.3.* The 24 lines are defined over  $L$ , and the action of  $\text{Gal}(L/k)$  coincides with the action of  $\sigma$ .

## 2. A COUNTEREXAMPLE TO WEAK APPROXIMATION

Let  $k = \mathbb{Q}$ . Let  $b$  be a prime number  $p$  with  $\left(\frac{a}{p}\right) = -1$ . Let  $a$  be another prime number, with  $a \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ . Let  $c \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $p \nmid c(c^2 - 1)$ . Let  $d_1 = d_2 = 1$ .

For example, take  $a = 5$ ,  $b = 13$ ,  $c = 2$ . In this case,  $Y$  is given by

$$y^2 = (x^2 - a)(x^2 - ap^2)(t^2 - a)(t^2 - ac^2).$$

There is an obvious rational point  $M \in Y(k)$ , given by  $x = t = 0$  and  $y = a^2pc$ .

Define an adelic point  $(M_v) \in \prod_v Y(k_v)$  where  $M_v = M$  for  $v$  real and for  $v \neq p$ , and  $M_p$  given by  $x = t = p^{-1}$ ,  $y = p^{-4}\alpha$  where  $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_p^\times$  with  $\alpha \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ .

**Proposition 2.1.** *Define  $Q_v = f(M_v)$ . Then  $(Q_v)$  is not in the closure of  $X(k)$  in  $\prod_v X(k_v)$ .*

Idea: We can find a 1-dimensional  $k$ -torus  $T$  and an  $Y$ -torsor  $Z$  under  $T$  such that  $Z$  is also an  $X$ -torsor under a  $k$ -group  $G$  fitting into an exact sequence

$$1 \rightarrow T \rightarrow G \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2 \rightarrow 1.$$

In other words, we have

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & & Z \\ & \swarrow T & \\ Y & & \\ \downarrow \mathbb{Z}/2 & \searrow G & \\ X & & \end{array}$$

The étale cohomology set  $H^1(X, G)$  classifies  $X$ -torsors under  $G$ . We have  $[Z] \in H^1(X, G)$ .

Fact:  $[Z](Q_v) \in \prod_v H^1(k_v, G)$  does not belong to the diagonal image of  $H^1(k, G)$ . This shows that  $(Q_v) \notin \overline{X(k)}$ , because of the Borel-Serre finiteness theorem.

## 3. COMPUTATIONS OF BRAUER GROUPS

Goal: Show that  $(Q_v)$  is in the Brauer-Manin set of  $X$ : i.e., that for all  $\alpha \in \text{Br } X$ ,

$$(1) \quad \sum_v j_v(\alpha(Q_v)) = 0.$$

Let  $f$  be the map  $Y \rightarrow X$ . Recall that  $\text{Br}_1 X$  is the kernel of  $\text{Br } X \rightarrow \text{Br } \overline{X}$ .

**Proposition 3.1.** *The group  $f^*(\text{Br}_1 X)$  is contained in the image of  $\text{Br } k \rightarrow \text{Br } Y$ .*

*Proof.* If  $k$  is a number field, then  $\text{Br}_1 X / \text{Br } k = H^1(k, \text{Pic } \overline{X})$ . Similarly,  $\text{Br}_1 Y / \text{Br } k = H^1(k, \text{Pic } \overline{Y})$ . Since  $\text{Pic } \overline{Y}$  is torsion-free, it is sufficient to show that  $H^1(k, (\text{Pic } \overline{X})/\text{tors}) = 0$ . The spectral sequence for  $\overline{Y} \rightarrow \overline{X}$  gives

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2 \rightarrow \text{Pic } \overline{X} \rightarrow (\text{Pic } \overline{Y})^\sigma \rightarrow H^2(\mathbb{Z}/2, \overline{k}^\times)$$

and  $(\text{Pic } \overline{X})/\text{tors} = \mathbb{Z}^r$  with trivial Galois action. □

**Theorem 3.2.** *If  $-d$  and  $-ad$  are not squares, then  $\text{Br}_1 X = \text{Br } X$ . (Note that  $\text{Br } \bar{X} = \mathbb{Z}/2$ .)*

*Proof of (1).* Take  $\alpha \in \text{Br } X = \text{Br}_1 X$ . Then

$$\sum_v j_v(\alpha(Q_v)) = \sum_v j_v(f^*(\alpha)(M_v)),$$

which is constant by Proposition 3.1, so it is 0. □

Conclusion: “The Brauer-Manin obstruction to weak approximation is not the only one for Enriques surfaces.”

*Remark 3.3.* The important facts we used were:

- $G$  is not commutative
- $G$  is not connected.

If one of these failed, the obstruction would be explained by the Brauer-Manin obstruction.

**Question 3.4.** The map  $\text{Br } \bar{X} \rightarrow \text{Br } \bar{Y}$  is injective for this family. Is it true in general for every Enriques surface?

**Conjecture 3.5.** The Brauer-Manin obstruction to the Hasse principle is not the only one for Enriques surfaces.