



UNIVERSITÄT
BAYREUTH

Uniform bounds on the number of rational points on hyperelliptic curves of low Mordell-Weil rank

Michael Stoll
Universität Bayreuth

Second ERC Research Period on Diophantine Geometry
Cetraro

July 21, 2014

Prelude: The Masser-Zannier set is empty

Prelude: The Masser-Zannier set is empty

Let $E_\lambda: y^2 = x(x-1)(x-\lambda)$.

For $\alpha \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0, 1\}$ define $T(\alpha) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0, 1\} : (\alpha, *) \in (E_\lambda)_{\text{tors}}\}$.

Prelude: The Masser-Zannier set is empty

Let $E_\lambda: y^2 = x(x-1)(x-\lambda)$.

For $\alpha \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0, 1\}$ define $T(\alpha) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0, 1\} : (\alpha, *) \in (E_\lambda)_{\text{tors}}\}$.

Masser and Zannier show that $T(2) \cap T(3)$ is finite,

and more generally, that $T(\alpha) \cap T(\beta)$ is finite for $\alpha \neq \beta$.

Prelude: The Masser-Zannier set is empty

Let $E_\lambda: y^2 = x(x-1)(x-\lambda)$.

For $\alpha \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0, 1\}$ define $T(\alpha) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0, 1\} : (\alpha, *) \in (E_\lambda)_{\text{tors}}\}$.

Masser and Zannier show that $T(2) \cap T(3)$ is finite,
and more generally, that $T(\alpha) \cap T(\beta)$ is finite for $\alpha \neq \beta$.

New Result (from last Friday):

- $T(2) \cap T(3) = \emptyset$.

Prelude: The Masser-Zannier set is empty

Let $E_\lambda: y^2 = x(x-1)(x-\lambda)$.

For $\alpha \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0, 1\}$ define $T(\alpha) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0, 1\} : (\alpha, *) \in (E_\lambda)_{\text{tors}}\}$.

Masser and Zannier show that $T(2) \cap T(3)$ is finite,
and more generally, that $T(\alpha) \cap T(\beta)$ is finite for $\alpha \neq \beta$.

New Result (from last Friday):

- $T(2) \cap T(3) = \emptyset$.
- Let ω be a primitive cube root of unity.
Then $T(\omega) \cap T(\omega^2) = \{\omega, \omega^2\}$ and $T(\omega - 2) \cap T(-5\omega + 3) = \{-5\omega + 3\}$.

Prelude: The Masser-Zannier set is empty

Let $E_\lambda: y^2 = x(x-1)(x-\lambda)$.

For $\alpha \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0, 1\}$ define $T(\alpha) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0, 1\} : (\alpha, *) \in (E_\lambda)_{\text{tors}}\}$.

Masser and Zannier show that $T(2) \cap T(3)$ is finite,
and more generally, that $T(\alpha) \cap T(\beta)$ is finite for $\alpha \neq \beta$.

New Result (from last Friday):

- $T(2) \cap T(3) = \emptyset$.
- Let ω be a primitive cube root of unity.
Then $T(\omega) \cap T(\omega^2) = \{\omega, \omega^2\}$ and $T(\omega - 2) \cap T(-5\omega + 3) = \{-5\omega + 3\}$.
- **stronger** than M-Z: effective and explicit.
- **weaker** than M-Z: applies only to special cases.

Prelude: The Masser-Zannier set is empty

Let $E_\lambda: y^2 = x(x-1)(x-\lambda)$.

For $\alpha \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0, 1\}$ define $T(\alpha) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0, 1\} : (\alpha, *) \in (E_\lambda)_{\text{tors}}\}$.

Masser and Zannier show that $T(2) \cap T(3)$ is finite,
and more generally, that $T(\alpha) \cap T(\beta)$ is finite for $\alpha \neq \beta$.

New Result (from last Friday):

- $T(2) \cap T(3) = \emptyset$.
- Let ω be a primitive cube root of unity.
Then $T(\omega) \cap T(\omega^2) = \{\omega, \omega^2\}$ and $T(\omega - 2) \cap T(-5\omega + 3) = \{-5\omega + 3\}$.
- **stronger** than M-Z: effective and explicit.
- **weaker** than M-Z: applies only to special cases.
- proof uses **2-adic** properties of division polynomials.

Motivation (1)

Theorem. ('Mordell's Conjecture', Faltings 1983)

Let C be a ('nice') curve of genus $g \geq 2$ over \mathbb{Q} .

Then $C(\mathbb{Q})$ is finite.

Motivation (1)

Theorem. ('Mordell's Conjecture', Faltings 1983)

Let C be a ('nice') curve of genus $g \geq 2$ over \mathbb{Q} .

Then $C(\mathbb{Q})$ is finite.

Question.

Is there a uniform bound $N(g)$ (depending only on g) for $\#C(\mathbb{Q})$?

Motivation (1)

Theorem. ('Mordell's Conjecture', Faltings 1983)

Let C be a ('nice') curve of genus $g \geq 2$ over \mathbb{Q} .

Then $C(\mathbb{Q})$ is finite.

Question.

Is there a uniform bound $N(g)$ (depending only on g) for $\#C(\mathbb{Q})$?

Theorem. (Caporaso-Harris-Mazur 1997)

The Bombieri-Lang Conjecture

(rational points on varieties of general type are not Zariski dense)

implies a positive answer.

Motivation (1)

Theorem. ('Mordell's Conjecture', Faltings 1983)

Let C be a ('nice') curve of genus $g \geq 2$ over \mathbb{Q} .

Then $C(\mathbb{Q})$ is finite.

Question.

Is there a uniform bound $N(g)$ (depending only on g) for $\#C(\mathbb{Q})$?

Theorem. (Caporaso-Harris-Mazur 1997)

The **Lang Conjecture**

(rational points on varieties of general type are not Zariski dense)

implies a **positive** answer.

Motivation (2)

A geometric variant:

Theorem. ('Mordell-Lang Conjecture', Faltings 1994)

Let C be a curve of genus $g \geq 2$ over \mathbb{C} ,
with an embedding $i: C \rightarrow J$ into its Jacobian.

Let $\Gamma \subset J(\mathbb{C})$ be a subgroup of finite rank r .

Then $i^{-1}(\Gamma)$ is finite.

Motivation (2)

A geometric variant:

Theorem. ('Mordell-Lang Conjecture', Faltings 1994)

Let C be a curve of genus $g \geq 2$ over \mathbb{C} ,
with an embedding $i: C \rightarrow J$ into its Jacobian.

Let $\Gamma \subset J(\mathbb{C})$ be a subgroup of finite rank r .

Then $i^{-1}(\Gamma)$ is finite.

Question.

Is there a uniform bound $N'(g, r)$ (depending only on g and r) for $\#i^{-1}(\Gamma)$?

Motivation (2)

A geometric variant:

Theorem. ('Mordell-Lang Conjecture', Faltings 1994)

Let C be a curve of genus $g \geq 2$ over \mathbb{C} ,
with an embedding $i: C \rightarrow J$ into its Jacobian.

Let $\Gamma \subset J(\mathbb{C})$ be a subgroup of finite rank r .

Then $i^{-1}(\Gamma)$ is finite.

Question.

Is there a uniform bound $N'(g, r)$ (depending only on g and r) for $\#i^{-1}(\Gamma)$?

Theorem.

The **Zilber-Pink Conjecture** for families of abelian varieties
implies a positive answer.

Main Theorem

Theorem.

Let K be a p -adic field (with $p > 2$).

Main Theorem

Theorem.

Let K be a p -adic field (with $p > 2$).

Fix $r, g \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ with $r \leq g - 3$.

Main Theorem

Theorem.

Let K be a p -adic field (with $p > 2$).

Fix $r, g \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ with $r \leq g - 3$.

There is a number $B(K, g, r)$ such that

Main Theorem

Theorem.

Let K be a p -adic field (with $p > 2$).

Fix $r, g \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ with $r \leq g - 3$.

There is a number $B(K, g, r)$ such that

for every hyperelliptic curve C of genus g over K ,

Main Theorem

Theorem.

Let K be a p -adic field (with $p > 2$).

Fix $r, g \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ with $r \leq g - 3$.

There is a number $B(K, g, r)$ such that

for every hyperelliptic curve C of genus g over K ,

any embedding $i: C \rightarrow J$ given by a base-point $P_0 \in C(K)$

Main Theorem

Theorem.

Let K be a p -adic field (with $p > 2$).

Fix $r, g \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ with $r \leq g - 3$.

There is a number $B(K, g, r)$ such that

for every hyperelliptic curve C of genus g over K ,

any embedding $i: C \rightarrow J$ given by a base-point $P_0 \in C(K)$

and any subgroup $\Gamma \subset J(K)$ of rank r ,

Main Theorem

Theorem.

Let K be a p -adic field (with $p > 2$).

Fix $r, g \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ with $r \leq g - 3$.

There is a number $B(K, g, r)$ such that

for every hyperelliptic curve C of genus g over K ,

any embedding $i: C \rightarrow J$ given by a base-point $P_0 \in C(K)$

and any subgroup $\Gamma \subset J(K)$ of rank r , we have

$$\#i^{-1}(\Gamma) \leq B(K, g, r).$$

Main Theorem

Theorem.

Let K be a p -adic field (with $p > 2$).

Fix $r, g \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ with $r \leq g - 3$.

There is a number $B(K, g, r)$ such that

for every hyperelliptic curve C of genus g over K ,

any embedding $i: C \rightarrow J$ given by a base-point $P_0 \in C(K)$

and any subgroup $\Gamma \subset J(K)$ of rank r , we have

$$\#i^{-1}(\Gamma) \leq B(K, g, r).$$

For example, we can take

$$B(\mathbb{Q}_3, g, r) = 8(r + 4)(g - 1) + \max\{1, 4r\} \cdot g.$$

Bound for the number of rational points

Taking $K = \mathbb{Q}_3$, C defined over \mathbb{Q} and $\Gamma = J(\mathbb{Q})$, we obtain:

Theorem.

Fix $r, g \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ with $r \leq g - 3$.

Then for every hyperelliptic curve C of genus g over \mathbb{Q} with $J(\mathbb{Q})$ of rank r , we have

$$\#C(\mathbb{Q}) \leq 8(r + 4)(g - 1) + \max\{1, 4r\} \cdot g.$$

Bound for the number of rational points

Taking $K = \mathbb{Q}_3$, C defined over \mathbb{Q} and $\Gamma = J(\mathbb{Q})$, we obtain:

Theorem.

Fix $r, g \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ with $r \leq g - 3$.

Then for every hyperelliptic curve C of genus g over \mathbb{Q} with $J(\mathbb{Q})$ of rank r , we have

$$\#C(\mathbb{Q}) \leq 8(r + 4)(g - 1) + \max\{1, 4r\} \cdot g.$$

More generally, there is a bound $N(d, g, r)$ (for $r \leq g - 3$) such that for all number fields K with $[K : \mathbb{Q}] \leq d$ and all hyperelliptic curves of genus g over K with $J(K)$ of rank r , we have

$$\#C(K) \leq N(d, g, r).$$

Chabauty-Coleman

A method pioneered by Chabauty and developed further by Coleman gives:

Theorem. (Coleman 1985, Stoll 2006, Katz & Zureick-Brown 2013)

Let C be a curve of **genus** g over \mathbb{Q}_p with $p > 2$,
with (minimal) proper regular model \mathcal{C} over \mathbb{Z}_p (with special fiber \mathcal{C}_s).

Let $i: C \rightarrow J$ be an embedding given by a base-point $P_0 \in C(\mathbb{Q}_p)$
and let $\Gamma \subset J(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ be a subgroup of **rank** $r \leq g - 1$. Then

$$\#i^{-1}(\Gamma) \leq \#\mathcal{C}_s^{\text{smooth}}(\mathbb{F}_p) + 2r + \left\lfloor \frac{2r}{p-2} \right\rfloor.$$

Chabauty-Coleman

A method pioneered by Chabauty and developed further by Coleman gives:

Theorem. (Coleman 1985, Stoll 2006, Katz & Zureick-Brown 2013)

Let C be a curve of **genus g** over \mathbb{Q}_p with $p > 2$,
with (minimal) proper regular model \mathcal{C} over \mathbb{Z}_p (with special fiber \mathcal{C}_s).

Let $i: C \rightarrow J$ be an embedding given by a base-point $P_0 \in C(\mathbb{Q}_p)$
and let $\Gamma \subset J(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ be a subgroup of **rank $r \leq g - 1$** . Then

$$\#i^{-1}(\Gamma) \leq \#\mathcal{C}_s^{\text{smooth}}(\mathbb{F}_p) + 2r + \left\lfloor \frac{2r}{p-2} \right\rfloor.$$

Problem: $\#\mathcal{C}_s^{\text{smooth}}(\mathbb{F}_p)$ **cannot be bounded** in terms of g and p !

Sketch of proof (1)

We have canonical isomorphisms
and the p -adic abelian logarithm

$$\begin{aligned}\Omega_{\mathbb{C}}^1(\mathbb{Q}_p) &\cong \Omega_J^1(\mathbb{Q}_p) \cong T_0J(\mathbb{Q}_p)^* \\ \log_J: J(\mathbb{Q}_p) &\rightarrow T_0J(\mathbb{Q}_p).\end{aligned}$$

Sketch of proof (1)

We have canonical isomorphisms and the p -adic abelian logarithm

$$\begin{aligned}\Omega_{\mathbb{C}}^1(\mathbb{Q}_p) &\cong \Omega_J^1(\mathbb{Q}_p) \cong T_0 J(\mathbb{Q}_p)^* \\ \log_J: J(\mathbb{Q}_p) &\rightarrow T_0 J(\mathbb{Q}_p).\end{aligned}$$

We obtain a pairing $\Omega_{\mathbb{C}}^1(\mathbb{Q}_p) \times J(\mathbb{Q}_p) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_p$, $(\omega, P) \mapsto \langle \omega, P \rangle$
by evaluating ω , considered as a cotangent vector, on $\log_J P$.

Sketch of proof (1)

We have canonical isomorphisms
and the p -adic abelian logarithm

$$\begin{aligned}\Omega_{\mathbb{C}}^1(\mathbb{Q}_p) &\cong \Omega_J^1(\mathbb{Q}_p) \cong T_0J(\mathbb{Q}_p)^* \\ \log_J: J(\mathbb{Q}_p) &\rightarrow T_0J(\mathbb{Q}_p).\end{aligned}$$

We obtain a pairing $\Omega_{\mathbb{C}}^1(\mathbb{Q}_p) \times J(\mathbb{Q}_p) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_p$, $(\omega, P) \mapsto \langle \omega, P \rangle$
by evaluating ω , considered as a cotangent vector, on $\log_J P$.

This pairing is related to **integration**:

For $P_0, P \in C(\mathbb{Q}_p)$, we have

$$\langle \omega, [P - P_0] \rangle = \int_{P_0}^P \omega.$$

Sketch of proof (1)

We have canonical isomorphisms
and the p -adic abelian logarithm

$$\Omega_{\mathbb{C}}^1(\mathbb{Q}_p) \cong \Omega_J^1(\mathbb{Q}_p) \cong T_0J(\mathbb{Q}_p)^*$$
$$\log_J: J(\mathbb{Q}_p) \rightarrow T_0J(\mathbb{Q}_p).$$

We obtain a pairing $\Omega_{\mathbb{C}}^1(\mathbb{Q}_p) \times J(\mathbb{Q}_p) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_p$, $(\omega, P) \mapsto \langle \omega, P \rangle$
by evaluating ω , considered as a cotangent vector, on $\log_J P$.

This pairing is related to **integration**:

For $P_0, P \in C(\mathbb{Q}_p)$, we have

$$\langle \omega, [P - P_0] \rangle = \int_{P_0}^P \omega.$$

Let $V_{\Gamma} \subset \Omega_{\mathbb{C}}^1(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ be the **annihilator of Γ** ; then $\dim V_{\Gamma} \geq g - r > 0$.

Sketch of proof (1)

We have canonical isomorphisms $\Omega_{\mathbb{C}}^1(\mathbb{Q}_p) \cong \Omega_J^1(\mathbb{Q}_p) \cong T_0J(\mathbb{Q}_p)^*$
and the p -adic abelian logarithm $\log_J: J(\mathbb{Q}_p) \rightarrow T_0J(\mathbb{Q}_p)$.

We obtain a pairing $\Omega_{\mathbb{C}}^1(\mathbb{Q}_p) \times J(\mathbb{Q}_p) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_p$, $(\omega, P) \mapsto \langle \omega, P \rangle$
by evaluating ω , considered as a cotangent vector, on $\log_J P$.

This pairing is related to **integration**:

For $P_0, P \in C(\mathbb{Q}_p)$, we have

$$\langle \omega, [P - P_0] \rangle = \int_{P_0}^P \omega.$$

Let $V_{\Gamma} \subset \Omega_{\mathbb{C}}^1(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ be the **annihilator of Γ** ; then $\dim V_{\Gamma} \geq g - r > 0$.

Note that $i^{-1}(\Gamma) \subset \{P \in C(\mathbb{Q}_p) : \forall \omega \in V_{\Gamma} : \langle \omega, i(P) \rangle = 0\}$.

Sketch of proof (2)

Let $\rho: C(\mathbb{Q}_p) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_S^{\text{smooth}}(\mathbb{F}_p)$ be the reduction map.

Fix a **residue disk** $D = \rho^{-1}(\bar{P})$.

There is an analytic isomorphism $\varphi: \{\xi \in \mathbb{Q}_p : |\xi|_p < 1\} \rightarrow D$.

Sketch of proof (2)

Let $\rho: C(\mathbb{Q}_p) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_S^{\text{smooth}}(\mathbb{F}_p)$ be the reduction map.

Fix a **residue disk** $D = \rho^{-1}(\bar{P})$.

There is an analytic isomorphism $\varphi: \{\xi \in \mathbb{Q}_p : |\xi|_p < 1\} \rightarrow D$.

We can write $\varphi^* \omega = w(t) dt = d\ell(t)$ with **power series** $w, \ell \in \mathbb{Q}_p[[t]]$.

Then

$$\langle \omega, i(\varphi(\tau)) \rangle = \int_{P_0}^{\varphi(\tau)} \omega = \int_{P_0}^{\varphi(0)} \omega + \int_0^\tau w(t) dt = c + \ell(\tau).$$

Sketch of proof (2)

Let $\rho: C(\mathbb{Q}_p) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_S^{\text{smooth}}(\mathbb{F}_p)$ be the reduction map.

Fix a **residue disk** $D = \rho^{-1}(\bar{P})$.

There is an analytic isomorphism $\varphi: \{\xi \in \mathbb{Q}_p : |\xi|_p < 1\} \rightarrow D$.

We can write $\varphi^* \omega = w(t) dt = d\ell(t)$ with **power series** $w, \ell \in \mathbb{Q}_p[[t]]$.

Then

$$\langle \omega, i(\varphi(\tau)) \rangle = \int_{P_0}^{\varphi(\tau)} \omega = \int_{P_0}^{\varphi(0)} \omega + \int_0^\tau w(t) dt = c + \ell(\tau).$$

Considering the Newton Polygon of w and ℓ , one shows that

$$\#\{P \in D : \langle \omega, i(P) \rangle = 0\} \leq 1 + \nu(\omega, D) + \left\lfloor \frac{\nu(\omega, D)}{p-2} \right\rfloor,$$

where $\nu(\omega, D)$ is the number of zeros of ω on $D(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p)$.

Sketch of proof (2)

Let $\rho: C(\mathbb{Q}_p) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_S^{\text{smooth}}(\mathbb{F}_p)$ be the reduction map.

Fix a **residue disk** $D = \rho^{-1}(\bar{P})$.

There is an analytic isomorphism $\varphi: \{\xi \in \mathbb{Q}_p : |\xi|_p < 1\} \rightarrow D$.

We can write $\varphi^* \omega = w(t) dt = d\ell(t)$ with **power series** $w, \ell \in \mathbb{Q}_p[[t]]$.

Then

$$\langle \omega, i(\varphi(\tau)) \rangle = \int_{P_0}^{\varphi(\tau)} \omega = \int_{P_0}^{\varphi(0)} \omega + \int_0^\tau w(t) dt = c + \ell(\tau).$$

Considering the Newton Polygon of w and ℓ , one shows that

$$\#\{P \in D : \langle \omega, i(P) \rangle = 0\} \leq 1 + \nu(\omega, D) + \left\lfloor \frac{\nu(\omega, D)}{p-2} \right\rfloor,$$

where $\nu(\omega, D)$ is the number of zeros of ω on $D(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p)$.

Picking an 'optimal' ω for each D and summing gives the result.

How to fix the problem

The **only** source for the unboundedness of $\#\mathcal{C}_S^{\text{smooth}}(\mathbb{F}_p)$ is arbitrarily long **chains of \mathbb{P}^1 's** in \mathcal{C}_S (Artin & Winters 1971).

How to fix the problem

The **only** source for the unboundedness of $\#\mathcal{C}_S^{\text{smooth}}(\mathbb{F}_p)$ is arbitrarily long **chains of \mathbb{P}^1 's** in \mathcal{C}_S (Artin & Winters 1971).

Proposition.

The **number of components** of $\mathcal{C}_S^{\text{smooth}}$ **outside of chains** is $\ll g$.

The **number of chains** in $\mathcal{C}_S^{\text{smooth}}$ is $\ll g$.

How to fix the problem

The **only** source for the unboundedness of $\#\mathcal{C}_S^{\text{smooth}}(\mathbb{F}_p)$ is arbitrarily long **chains of \mathbb{P}^1 's** in \mathcal{C}_S (Artin & Winters 1971).

Proposition.

The **number of components** of $\mathcal{C}_S^{\text{smooth}}$ **outside of chains** is $\ll g$.

The **number of chains** in $\mathcal{C}_S^{\text{smooth}}$ is $\ll g$.

The preimage in $C(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ of a chain is analytically isomorphic to an **annulus** $\{\xi \in \mathbb{Q}_p : r_1 < |\xi|_p < r_2\}$.

How to fix the problem

The **only** source for the unboundedness of $\#\mathcal{C}_S^{\text{smooth}}(\mathbb{F}_p)$ is arbitrarily long **chains of \mathbb{P}^1 's** in \mathcal{C}_S (Artin & Winters 1971).

Proposition.

The **number of components** of $\mathcal{C}_S^{\text{smooth}}$ **outside of chains** is $\ll g$.

The **number of chains** in $\mathcal{C}_S^{\text{smooth}}$ is $\ll g$.

The preimage in $C(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ of a chain is analytically isomorphic to an **annulus** $\{\xi \in \mathbb{Q}_p : r_1 < |\xi|_p < r_2\}$.

So we can cover $C(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ by $\ll pg$ **disks D** coming from points in $\mathcal{C}_S^{\text{smooth}}(\mathbb{F}_p)$ outside chains and by $\ll g$ **annuli A** coming from the chains.

The key result

Proposition.

For each annulus A there is a subspace $V_A \subset \Omega_C^1(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ with $\text{codim} V_A \leq 2$ such that for $0 \neq \omega \in V_A$, if C is hyperelliptic,

$$\#\{P \in A : \langle \omega, i(P) \rangle = 0\} \leq \nu(\omega, A) + \left\lfloor \frac{\nu(\omega, A)}{p-2} \right\rfloor.$$

The key result

Proposition.

For each annulus A there is a subspace $V_A \subset \Omega_C^1(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ with $\text{codim} V_A \leq 2$ such that for $0 \neq \omega \in V_A$, if C is hyperelliptic,

$$\#\{P \in A : \langle \omega, i(P) \rangle = 0\} \leq \nu(\omega, A) + \left\lfloor \frac{\nu(\omega, A)}{p-2} \right\rfloor.$$

Idea of proof: Let $\varphi: \{\xi \in \mathbb{Q}_p : r_1 < |\xi|_p < r_2\} \rightarrow A$ parametrize A .

The pull-back of ω is $\varphi^* \omega = w(t) dt = d\ell(t) + c(\omega) \frac{dt}{t}$

with Laurent series w and ℓ .

The key result

Proposition.

For each annulus A there is a subspace $V_A \subset \Omega_C^1(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ with $\text{codim} V_A \leq 2$ such that for $0 \neq \omega \in V_A$, if C is hyperelliptic,

$$\#\{P \in A : \langle \omega, i(P) \rangle = 0\} \leq \nu(\omega, A) + \left\lfloor \frac{\nu(\omega, A)}{p-2} \right\rfloor.$$

Idea of proof: Let $\varphi: \{\xi \in \mathbb{Q}_p : r_1 < |\xi|_p < r_2\} \rightarrow A$ parametrize A .

The pull-back of ω is $\varphi^* \omega = w(t) dt = d\ell(t) + c(\omega) \frac{dt}{t}$

with Laurent series w and ℓ . Then

$$\int_{\varphi(\tau_1)}^{\varphi(\tau_2)} \omega = \ell(\tau_2) - \ell(\tau_1) + c(\omega) \log \frac{\tau_2}{\tau_1}$$

The key result

Proposition.

For each annulus A there is a subspace $V_A \subset \Omega_C^1(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ with $\text{codim} V_A \leq 2$ such that for $0 \neq \omega \in V_A$, if C is hyperelliptic,

$$\#\{P \in A : \langle \omega, i(P) \rangle = 0\} \leq \nu(\omega, A) + \left\lfloor \frac{\nu(\omega, A)}{p-2} \right\rfloor.$$

Idea of proof: Let $\varphi: \{\xi \in \mathbb{Q}_p : r_1 < |\xi|_p < r_2\} \rightarrow A$ parametrize A .

The pull-back of ω is $\varphi^* \omega = w(t) dt = d\ell(t) + c(\omega) \frac{dt}{t}$ with Laurent series w and ℓ . There is $a(\omega) \in \mathbb{Q}_p$ such that

$$\int_{\varphi(\tau_1)}^{\varphi(\tau_2)} \omega = \ell(\tau_2) - \ell(\tau_1) + c(\omega) \log \frac{\tau_2}{\tau_1} + a(\omega) (\nu_p(\tau_2) - \nu_p(\tau_1)).$$

The key result

Proposition.

For each annulus A there is a subspace $V_A \subset \Omega_C^1(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ with $\text{codim} V_A \leq 2$ such that for $0 \neq \omega \in V_A$, if C is hyperelliptic,

$$\#\{P \in A : \langle \omega, i(P) \rangle = 0\} \leq \nu(\omega, A) + \left\lfloor \frac{\nu(\omega, A)}{p-2} \right\rfloor.$$

Idea of proof: Let $\varphi: \{\xi \in \mathbb{Q}_p : r_1 < |\xi|_p < r_2\} \rightarrow A$ parametrize A .

The pull-back of ω is $\varphi^* \omega = w(t) dt = d\ell(t) + c(\omega) \frac{dt}{t}$ with Laurent series w and ℓ . There is $a(\omega) \in \mathbb{Q}_p$ such that

$$\int_{\varphi(\tau_1)}^{\varphi(\tau_2)} \omega = \ell(\tau_2) - \ell(\tau_1) + c(\omega) \log \frac{\tau_2}{\tau_1} + a(\omega) (\nu_p(\tau_2) - \nu_p(\tau_1)).$$

Set $V_A = \{\omega \in \Omega_C^1(\mathbb{Q}_p) : a(\omega) = c(\omega) = 0\}$.

End of proof

Since $r \leq g - 3$, we have $V_\Gamma \cap V_A \neq \{0\}$ for all A ,
so we can always pick a suitable $\omega \neq 0$ to get a bound

$$\#(i^{-1}(\Gamma) \cap A) \leq \nu(\omega, A) + \left\lfloor \frac{\nu(\omega, A)}{p-2} \right\rfloor.$$

End of proof

Since $r \leq g - 3$, we have $V_\Gamma \cap V_A \neq \{0\}$ for all A ,
so we can always pick a suitable $\omega \neq 0$ to get a bound

$$\#(i^{-1}(\Gamma) \cap A) \leq \nu(\omega, A) + \left\lfloor \frac{\nu(\omega, A)}{p-2} \right\rfloor.$$

Taking the 'optimal' ω for each annulus and for each disk and summing,
we obtain the desired bound, which is of the shape

$$\ll (p + r + 1)g.$$

End of proof

Since $r \leq g - 3$, we have $V_\Gamma \cap V_A \neq \{0\}$ for all A ,
so we **can always pick** a suitable $\omega \neq 0$ to get a bound

$$\#(i^{-1}(\Gamma) \cap A) \leq v(\omega, A) + \left\lfloor \frac{v(\omega, A)}{p-2} \right\rfloor.$$

Taking the ‘optimal’ ω for each annulus and for each disk and summing,
we obtain the desired bound, which is of the shape

$$\ll (p + r + 1)g.$$

For a **general p-adic field** with ramification index $e < p - 1$
and residue field of size q , the bound takes the shape

$$\ll (q + e(r + 1))g.$$