

# REDUCTION THEORY OF POINT CLUSTERS IN PROJECTIVE SPACE

MICHAEL STOLL

## 1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper, we generalise the results of [5] on the reduction theory of binary forms, which describe positive zero-cycles in  $\mathbb{P}^1$ , to positive zero-cycles (or point clusters) in projective spaces of arbitrary dimension. This should have applications to more general projective varieties in  $\mathbb{P}^n$ , by associating a suitable positive zero-cycle to them in an  $\mathrm{PGL}(n + 1)$ -invariant way. We discuss this in the case of (smooth) plane curves.

The basic problem motivating this work is as follows. Consider projective varieties over  $\mathbb{Q}$  in some  $\mathbb{P}^n$ , with fixed discrete invariants. On this set, there is an action of  $\mathrm{SL}(n + 1, \mathbb{Z})$  by linear substitution of the coordinates. We would like to be able to select a specific representative of each orbit, which we will call *reduced*, in a way that is as canonical as possible. Hopefully, this representative will then also allow a description as the zero set of polynomials with fairly small integer coefficients.

Recall the main ingredients of the approach taken in [5]. The key role is played by a map  $z$  from binary forms of degree  $d$  into the symmetric space of  $\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{R})$  (which is the hyperbolic plane  $\mathcal{H}$  in this case) that is equivariant with respect to the action of  $\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$ . We then define a form  $F$  to be *reduced* if  $z(F)$  is in the standard fundamental domain for  $\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$  in  $\mathcal{H}$ . In order to make the map  $z$  as canonical as possible, we use a larger group than  $\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$ , namely  $\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})$ ; we then look for a map  $z$  from binary forms with complex coefficients into the symmetric space  $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{C}}$  for  $\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})$  that is  $\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})$ -equivariant and commutes with complex conjugation. This map restricted to real forms will have image contained in  $\mathcal{H}$  and satisfy our initial requirement.

Now there are in general many possible such maps  $z$  (for exceptions, see below). We therefore need to pick one of them. In [5] this is achieved by a geometric property: we define a function on  $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{C}}$  that measures how far a point is from the roots of  $F$  (up to an arbitrary additive constant); the covariant  $z(F)$  is then the unique point in  $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{C}}$  minimising this distance.

---

*Date:* 31 August, 2009.

In our more general situation, we work with the space  $\mathcal{H}_{n,\mathbb{R}}$  of positive definite quadratic forms in  $n + 1$  variables, modulo scaling, and the space  $\mathcal{H}_{n,\mathbb{C}}$  of positive definite Hermitian forms in  $n + 1$  variables, modulo scaling (by positive real factors). There is a natural action of complex conjugation on  $\mathcal{H}_{n,\mathbb{C}}$ ; the subset fixed by it can be identified with  $\mathcal{H}_{n,\mathbb{R}}$ .

We use the formula for the distance function mentioned above to obtain a similar function on  $\mathcal{H}_{n,\mathbb{C}}$ , depending on a collection of points in  $\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})$ . Under a suitable condition on the point cluster or zero-cycle  $Z$ , this distance function has a unique critical point, which provides a global minimum. We assign this point to  $Z$  as its covariant  $z(Z)$ , thus solving our problem.

## 2. BASICS

In all of the paper, we fix  $n \geq 0$ .

We consider the group  $G = \mathrm{SL}(n + 1, \mathbb{C})$  and its natural action on forms (homogeneous polynomials) in  $n + 1$  variables  $X_0, \dots, X_n$  by linear substitutions; this action will be on the right:

$$F(X_0, X_1, \dots, X_n) \cdot (a_{ij})_{0 \leq i, j \leq n} = F\left(\sum_{j=0}^n a_{0j} X_j, \dots, \sum_{j=0}^n a_{nj} X_j\right).$$

The same action is used for Hermitian forms in  $X_0, \dots, X_n$ . A Hermitian form can be considered as a bihomogeneous polynomial of bidegree  $(1, 1)$  in two sets of variables  $X_0, \dots, X_n$  and  $\bar{X}_0, \dots, \bar{X}_n$ , where the action on the second set is through the complex conjugate of the matrix. The form  $Q$  is Hermitian if  $Q(\bar{X}; X) = \bar{Q}(X; \bar{X})$ , where  $\bar{Q}$  denotes the form obtained from  $Q$  by replacing the coefficients with their complex conjugates. Hermitian forms can also be identified with Hermitian matrices, i.e., matrices  $A$  such that  $A^\top = \bar{A}$ , where  $A$  corresponds to  $Q$  if  $Q(\mathbf{x}) = \bar{\mathbf{x}} A \mathbf{x}^\top$ ; then the action of  $G$  is given by  $A \cdot \gamma = \bar{\gamma}^\top A \gamma$ .

The group  $G$  also acts on coordinates  $(\xi_0, \dots, \xi_n)$  on the right via the contragredient representation,

$$(\xi_0, \dots, \xi_n) \cdot \gamma = (\xi_0, \dots, \xi_n) \gamma^{-\top}.$$

These actions are compatible in the sense that

$$(Q \cdot \gamma)(\mathbf{x} \cdot \gamma) = Q(\mathbf{x})$$

for Hermitian forms  $Q$  and coordinate vectors  $\mathbf{x}$ .

## 3. POINT CLUSTERS

The actions described above induce actions of  $\mathrm{PSL}(n + 1, \mathbb{C}) = \mathrm{PGL}(n + 1, \mathbb{C})$  on projective schemes over  $\mathbb{C}$  and points in projective space  $\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})$ . The first specialises and the second generalises to an action on positive zero-cycles.

**Definition 1.** A *positive zero-cycle* or *point cluster* is a formal sum  $Z = \sum_{j=1}^m P_j$  of points  $P_j \in \mathbb{P}^n$ . The number  $m$  of points is the *degree* of  $Z$ , written  $\deg Z$ . If  $L \subset \mathbb{P}^n$  is a linear subspace, we let  $Z|_L$  be the sum of those points in  $Z$  that lie in  $L$ .

**Definition 2.** Let  $Z$  be a point cluster in  $\mathbb{P}^n$ .

- (1)  $Z$  is *split* if there are two disjoint and nonempty linear subspaces  $L_1, L_2$  of  $\mathbb{P}^n$  such that  $Z = Z|_{L_1} + Z|_{L_2}$ . Otherwise,  $Z$  is *non-split*.
- (2)  $Z$  is *semi-stable* if for every linear subspace  $L \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ , we have

$$(n+1) \deg Z|_L \leq (\dim L + 1) \deg Z.$$

- (3)  $Z$  is *stable* if for every linear subspace  $\emptyset \neq L \subsetneq \mathbb{P}^n$ , we have

$$(n+1) \deg Z|_L < (\dim L + 1) \deg Z.$$

**Remark 3.** Note that a split point cluster cannot be stable.

If we identify the cluster  $Z = \sum_{j=1}^m P_j$ , where  $P_j = (a_{j0} : a_{j1} : \dots : a_{jn})$ , with the form  $F(Z) = \prod_{j=1}^m (a_{j0}x_0 + a_{j1}x_1 + \dots + a_{jn}x_n)$  (up to scaling), then  $Z$  is (semi-)stable if and only if  $F(Z)$  is (semi-)stable in the sense of Geometric Invariant Theory, see [4].

If  $n = 1$ , then the notions of stable and semi-stable defined here coincide with those defined in [5] (in Def. 4.1 and before Prop. 5.2) for binary forms.

**Definition 4.** Let  $\mathcal{Z}_m$  denote the set of point clusters of degree  $m$  in  $\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})$ ,  $\mathcal{Z}_m^{\text{sst}}$  the subset of semi-stable and  $\mathcal{Z}_m^{\text{st}}$  the subset of stable point clusters. We denote by  $\mathcal{Z}_m(\mathbb{R})$  etc. the subset of point clusters fixed by complex conjugation, which acts via  $\sum_j P_j \mapsto \sum_j \bar{P}_j$ .

For notational convenience, we define for a point cluster  $Z$  and  $-1 \leq k \leq n$

$$\varphi_Z(k) = \max\{\deg Z|_L : L \subset \mathbb{P}^n \text{ a } k\text{-dimensional linear subspace}\}.$$

Then  $Z$  is semi-stable if and only if  $\varphi_Z(k) \leq \frac{k+1}{n+1} \deg Z$  and stable if and only if the inequality is strict for  $0 \leq k < n$ .

We let  $\langle P, P' \rangle = \bar{P}(P')^\top$  denote the standard Hermitian inner product on row vectors and  $\|P\|^2 = \langle P, P \rangle$  the corresponding norm. The next lemma is the basis for most of what follows.

**Lemma 5.** Let  $Z \in \mathcal{Z}_m$ . Fix row vectors  $P_j$ ,  $j \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ , representing the points in  $Z$ , such that  $\|P_j\|^2 = 1$ . Then there is a constant  $c > 0$  such that for every positive definite Hermitian matrix  $Q$  with eigenvalues  $0 < \lambda_0 \leq \lambda_1 \leq \dots \leq \lambda_n$ , we have

$$\prod_{j=1}^m (\bar{P}_j Q P_j^\top) \geq c \prod_{k=0}^n \lambda_k^{\varphi_Z(k) - \varphi_Z(k-1)}.$$

*Proof.* Let  $B = b_0, \dots, b_n$  be a unitary basis of  $\mathbb{C}^{n+1}$ . Let  $E_k = \langle b_0, \dots, b_k \rangle$  the subspace generated by the first  $k+1$  basis vectors. By definition of  $\varphi_Z$ , the set  $\Sigma(B) \subset S_m$  of permutations  $\sigma$  with the following property is nonempty:

$$P_{\sigma(j)} \notin E_k \quad \text{if } j > \varphi_Z(k).$$

Define  $k_\sigma(j) = \min\{k : \sigma(j) \leq \varphi_Z(k)\}$ ; then  $P_{\sigma(j)} \notin E_{k_\sigma(j)-1}$  if  $\sigma \in \Sigma(B)$ . Write  $P_j = \sum_{i=0}^n \xi_{ji} b_i$  and define

$$f_\sigma(B) = \prod_{j=1}^m \left( \sum_{i=k_\sigma(j)}^n |\xi_{\sigma(j),i}|^2 \right) = \prod_{j=1}^m \left( \sum_{i=k_\sigma(j)}^n |\langle P_{\sigma(j)}, b_i \rangle|^2 \right)$$

and

$$f(B) = \max\{f_\sigma(B) : \sigma \in S_m\}.$$

It is clear that  $f_\sigma$  is continuous on the set of unitary bases and that  $f_\sigma(B) > 0$  if  $\sigma \in \Sigma(B)$ . This implies that  $f$  is continuous and positive. Since the set of all unitary bases (i.e.,  $U(n+1)$ ) is compact, there is some  $c > 0$  such that  $f(B) \geq c$  for all  $B$ .

Now let  $Q$  be a positive definite Hermitian matrix as in the statement of the Lemma. Let  $B = b_0, \dots, b_n$  be a unitary basis of eigenvectors such that  $b_j Q = \lambda_j b_j$ . We then have for  $\sigma \in S_m$  and using notation introduced above

$$\begin{aligned} \prod_{j=1}^m (\bar{P}_j Q P_j^\top) &= \prod_{j=1}^m (\bar{P}_{\sigma(j)} Q P_{\sigma(j)}^\top) = \prod_{j=1}^m \left( \sum_{i=0}^n \lambda_i |\xi_{\sigma(j),i}|^2 \right) \\ &\geq \prod_{j=1}^m \left( \lambda_{k_\sigma(j)} \sum_{i=k_\sigma(j)}^n |\xi_{\sigma(j),i}|^2 \right) \\ &= f_\sigma(B) \prod_{j=1}^m \lambda_{k_\sigma(j)} = f_\sigma(B) \prod_{k=0}^n \lambda_k^{\varphi_Z(k) - \varphi_Z(k-1)}. \end{aligned}$$

Taking the maximum over all  $\sigma \in S_m$  now shows that

$$\prod_{j=1}^m (\bar{P}_j Q P_j^\top) \geq f(B) \prod_{k=0}^n \lambda_k^{\varphi_Z(k) - \varphi_Z(k-1)} \geq c \prod_{k=0}^n \lambda_k^{\varphi_Z(k) - \varphi_Z(k-1)}.$$

□

#### 4. THE COVARIANT

**Definition 6.** Let  $\tilde{\mathcal{Z}}_m$  etc. denote the set of point clusters of degree  $m$  with a choice of coordinates for the points, up to scaling the coordinates of the points with factors whose product is 1. We will call  $\tilde{Z} \in \tilde{\mathcal{Z}}_m$  a *point cluster with scaling*. For  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^\times$  and  $\tilde{Z} \in \tilde{\mathcal{Z}}_m$ , we write  $\lambda \tilde{Z}$  for the cluster with scaling that we obtain by scaling one of the points in  $\tilde{Z}$  by  $\lambda$ . This defines an action of  $\mathbb{C}^\times$  on  $\tilde{\mathcal{Z}}_m$  such

that the quotient  $\mathbb{C}^\times \backslash \tilde{\mathcal{Z}}_m$  is  $\mathcal{Z}_m$ . If  $\tilde{Z} \in \tilde{\mathcal{Z}}_m$ , then we write  $Z$  for the image of  $\tilde{Z}$  in  $\mathcal{Z}_m$ .

**Definition 7.** For a point cluster with scaling  $\tilde{Z} \in \tilde{\mathcal{Z}}_m$ , pick a representative  $\sum_{j=1}^m P_j$  with row vectors  $P_j$ . Then, for  $Q \in \mathcal{H}_{n,\mathbb{C}}$ , represented by a Hermitian matrix, we define

$$D_{\tilde{Z}}(Q) = D(\tilde{Z}, Q) = \sum_{j=1}^m \log(\bar{P}_j Q P_j^\top) - \frac{m}{n+1} \log \det Q.$$

$D(\tilde{Z}, Q)$  is clearly invariant under scaling of  $Q$ , and it does not depend on the choice of representative for  $\tilde{Z}$ . Note also that for  $\gamma \in G$ ,

$$D(\tilde{Z} \cdot \gamma, Q \cdot \gamma) = D(\tilde{Z}, Q).$$

Furthermore, we have  $D(\tilde{Z}, \bar{Q}) = D(\tilde{Z}, Q)$  and  $D(\lambda \tilde{Z}, Q) = \log |\lambda|^2 + D(\tilde{Z}, Q)$ .

This function generalises the distance function used in Prop. 5.3 of [5]. We will now proceed to show that for stable clusters, there is a unique form  $Q \in \mathcal{H}_{n,\mathbb{C}}$  that minimises this distance.

To that end, we now identify  $\mathcal{H}_{n,\mathbb{C}}$  with the set of positive definite Hermitian matrices of determinant 1. This is a real  $n(n+2)$ -dimensional submanifold of the space of all complex  $(n+1) \times (n+1)$ -matrices.  $\mathrm{SL}(n+1, \mathbb{C})$  acts transitively on this space, and the tangent space  $T$  at the identity matrix  $I$  consists of the Hermitian matrices of trace zero. We say that a twice continuously differentiable function on  $\mathcal{H}_{n,\mathbb{C}}$  is *convex* if its second derivative is positive semidefinite, and *strictly convex* if its second derivative is positive definite. Then the usual conclusions on convex functions apply.

**Lemma 8.** *Let  $\tilde{Z} \in \tilde{\mathcal{Z}}_m$  be a point cluster with scaling.*

- (1) *The function  $D_{\tilde{Z}}$  is convex.*
- (2) *If  $Z$  is non-split, then  $D_{\tilde{Z}}$  is strictly convex.*
- (3) *If  $Z$  is semi-stable, then  $D_{\tilde{Z}}$  is bounded from below.*
- (4) *If  $Z$  is stable, then the sets  $\{Q \in \mathcal{H}_{n,\mathbb{C}} : D_{\tilde{Z}}(Q) \leq B\}$  are compact for all  $B \in \mathbb{R}$ .*

*Proof.* Since scaling  $\tilde{Z}$  only changes  $D_{\tilde{Z}}$  by an additive constant, we can assume that  $\tilde{Z} = P_1 + \dots + P_m$  with row vectors  $P_j$  satisfying  $\|P_j\|^2 = 1$ .

(1) Since  $D_{\tilde{Z}}(Q \cdot \gamma) = D_{\tilde{Z} \cdot \gamma^{-1}}(Q)$ , we can assume that  $Q = I$ . We compute the second derivative at  $\lambda = 0$  of  $\lambda \mapsto f(\lambda) = D_{\tilde{Z}}(\exp(\lambda B))$ , where  $B$  is a Hermitian

trace-zero matrix (i.e.,  $B \in T$ ). We have

$$\begin{aligned} D_{\bar{Z}}(\exp(\lambda B)) &= \sum_j \log(1 + \bar{P}_j B P_j^\top \cdot \lambda + \bar{P}_j B^2 P_j^\top \cdot \lambda^2/2 + \dots) \\ &= \sum_j (\bar{P}_j B P_j^\top \cdot \lambda + (\bar{P}_j B^2 P_j^\top - (\bar{P}_j B P_j^\top)^2) \cdot \lambda^2/2 + \dots) \end{aligned}$$

The second derivative therefore is

$$\sum_j (\bar{P}_j B^2 P_j^\top - (\bar{P}_j B P_j^\top)^2) = \sum_j (\|P_j \bar{B}\|^2 \|P_j\|^2 - |\langle P_j \bar{B}, P_j \rangle|^2) \geq 0$$

by the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality. This shows that the second derivative is positive semidefinite, whence the first claim.

(2) The second derivative vanishes exactly when every  $P_j$  is an eigenvector of  $B$  for all  $j$ . Since  $B$  has trace zero, there are at least two distinct eigenvalues (unless  $B$  is the zero matrix). The ‘non-split’ condition therefore excludes this possibility, implying that the second derivative at  $I$  is positive definite. Since the condition is invariant under the action of  $\mathrm{SL}(n+1, \mathbb{C})$ , the second derivative is positive definite everywhere.

(3) By Lemma 5, we find some  $c > 0$  such that for  $Q \in \mathcal{H}_{n, \mathbb{C}}$  with eigenvalues  $\lambda_0 \leq \dots \leq \lambda_n$ , we have

$$\prod_{j=1}^m (\bar{P}_j Q P_j^\top) \geq c \prod_{k=0}^n \lambda_k^{\varphi_Z(k) - \varphi_Z(k-1)}.$$

With  $\varphi_Z(k) \leq (k+1) \frac{m}{n+1}$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} D_{\bar{Z}}(Q) &\geq \log c + \sum_{k=0}^n (\varphi_Z(k) - \varphi_Z(k-1)) \log \lambda_k \\ &= \log c + m \log \lambda_n - \sum_{k=1}^n \varphi_Z(k-1) (\log \lambda_k - \log \lambda_{k-1}) \\ &\geq \log c + m \log \lambda_n - \frac{m}{n+1} \sum_{k=1}^n k (\log \lambda_k - \log \lambda_{k-1}) \\ &= \log c + \frac{m}{n+1} \sum_{k=0}^n \log \lambda_k \\ &= \log c \end{aligned}$$

(recall that  $\sum_k \log \lambda_k = \log \det Q = 0$ ).

(4) We now use that  $\varphi_Z(k) \leq (k+1)\frac{m}{n+1} - \frac{1}{n+1}$  for  $0 \leq k \leq n-1$ . The computation in the proof of (3) above then yields

$$\begin{aligned} D_{\tilde{Z}}(Q) &\geq \log c + m \log \lambda_n - \sum_{k=1}^n \varphi_Z(k-1)(\log \lambda_k - \log \lambda_{k-1}) \\ &\geq \log c + m \log \lambda_n \\ &\quad - \frac{m}{n+1} \sum_{k=1}^n k(\log \lambda_k - \log \lambda_{k-1}) + \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{k=1}^n (\log \lambda_k - \log \lambda_{k-1}) \\ &= \log c + \frac{1}{n+1}(\log \lambda_n - \log \lambda_0). \end{aligned}$$

So  $D_{\tilde{Z}}(Q) \leq B$  implies that  $\lambda_n/\lambda_0$  is bounded, but this implies that the subset of  $Q \in \mathcal{H}_{n,\mathbb{C}}$  satisfying  $D_{\tilde{Z}}(Q) \leq B$  is also bounded. Since it is obviously closed, it must be compact.  $\square$

**Remark 9.** Note that if  $Z$  is not stable, then there are sets  $\{Q : D_{\tilde{Z}}(Q) \leq B\}$  that are not compact. Indeed, there is a linear subspace  $L_0 \subset \mathbb{C}^{n+1}$  of some dimension  $0 < k+1 < n+1$  containing at least  $(k+1)m/(n+1)$  points of  $Z$ . Let  $L_1$  be its orthogonal complement. Let  $Q_\lambda$  be the Hermitian matrix with eigenvalue  $\lambda^{-(n-k)}$  on  $L_0$  and eigenvalue  $\lambda^{k+1}$  on  $L_1$ . Then we have for  $\lambda \geq 1$  that

$$D_{\tilde{Z}}(Q_\lambda) \leq \text{const.} + (k+1)\frac{m}{n+1} \log \lambda^{-(n-k)} + (n-k)\frac{m}{n+1} \log \lambda^{k+1} = \text{const.};$$

but the set  $\{Q_\lambda : \lambda \geq 1\}$  is not relatively compact.

We also see that  $D_{\tilde{Z}}$  is not bounded from below when  $Z$  is not semi-stable, since using the corresponding strict inequality, we find with a similar argument that

$$D_{\tilde{Z}}(Q_\lambda) \leq \text{const.} - \varepsilon \log \lambda$$

for some  $\varepsilon > 0$ .

**Corollary 10.** *If  $\tilde{Z} \in \tilde{\mathcal{Z}}_m^{st}$ , then the function  $D_{\tilde{Z}}$  has a unique critical point  $z(Z)$  on  $\mathcal{H}_{n,\mathbb{C}}$ , and at this point  $D_{\tilde{Z}}$  achieves its global minimum  $\log \theta(\tilde{Z})$  (for some  $\theta(\tilde{Z}) \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ ).*

**PROOF:** By Lemma 8, we know that  $D_{\tilde{Z}}$  is strictly convex and that the set  $\{Q \in \mathcal{H}_{n,\mathbb{C}} : D_{\tilde{Z}}(Q) \leq B\}$  is always compact. The first property implies that every critical point must be a local minimum. By the second property, there exists a global minimum. If there were two distinct local minima, then on a path joining the two, there would have to be a local maximum, but then the second derivative would not be positive definite in this point, a contradiction. Hence there is a unique local minimum, which must then also be the global minimum and the unique critical point.

Since  $D_{\lambda\tilde{Z}} = \log|\lambda|^2 + D_{\tilde{Z}}$ , the minimising point in  $\mathcal{H}_{n,\mathbb{C}}$  does not depend on the scaling, so it only depends on  $Z$ , and the notation  $z(Z)$  is justified.  $\square$

Note that we have  $\theta(\lambda\tilde{Z}) = |\lambda|^2\theta(\tilde{Z})$ .

Corollary 10 defines  $z : \mathcal{Z}_m^{\text{st}} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{n,\mathbb{C}}$  and  $\theta : \tilde{\mathcal{Z}}_m^{\text{st}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ . The latter extends to

$$\theta : \tilde{\mathcal{Z}}_m \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}_{>0}$$

with the definition  $\theta(\tilde{Z}) = \inf_{Q \in \mathcal{H}_{n,\mathbb{C}}} \exp(D(\tilde{Z}, Q))$ . By Lemma 8, (3), we have  $\theta(\tilde{Z}) > 0$  if  $\tilde{Z} \in \tilde{\mathcal{Z}}_m^{\text{sst}}$ , and by the preceding remark,  $\theta(\tilde{Z}) = 0$  if  $\tilde{Z}$  is not semi-stable.

**Corollary 11.** *The function  $z : \mathcal{Z}_m^{\text{st}} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{n,\mathbb{C}}$  is  $\text{SL}(n+1, \mathbb{C})$ -equivariant. It also satisfies  $z(\bar{Z}) = \overline{z(Z)}$ . In particular,  $z$  restricts to  $z : \mathcal{Z}_m^{\text{st}}(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{n,\mathbb{R}}$ .*

*The function  $\theta : \tilde{\mathcal{Z}}_m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{>0}$  is invariant under  $\text{SL}(n+1, \mathbb{C})$  and under complex conjugation.*

**PROOF:** The first statement follows from the invariance of  $D$  (under the action of both  $\text{SL}(n+1, \mathbb{C})$  and complex conjugation) and the uniqueness of  $z(Z)$ . The second statement follows from the invariance of  $D$ .  $\square$

In some cases the point  $z(Z)$  is uniquely determined by symmetry considerations. Namely if the point cluster  $Z \in \mathcal{Z}_m^{\text{st}}$  is stabilised by a subgroup of  $\text{SL}(n+1, \mathbb{C})$  that fixes a unique point in  $\mathcal{H}_{n,\mathbb{C}}$ , then  $z(Z)$  must be this point, compare Lemma 3.1 in [5]. This facilitates the numerical computation of  $z(Z)$ , since it eliminates the need for finding numerically the minimum of the distance function on  $\mathcal{H}_{n,\mathbb{C}}$ .

**Example 12.** Consider a sum  $Z$  of  $n+2$  points in general position in  $\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})$ . Then  $Z$  is stable. Since  $\text{PGL}(n+1, \mathbb{C})$  acts transitively on  $(n+2)$ -tuples of points in general position, we can assume that the points in  $Z$  are the coordinate points together with the point  $(1 : \dots : 1)$ . Let this specific cluster be  $Z_0$ . The stabiliser of  $Z_0$  in  $\text{PGL}(n+1)$  is isomorphic to the symmetric group  $S_{n+2}$ ; its preimage  $\Gamma$  in  $\text{SL}(n+1, \mathbb{C})$  acts irreducibly on  $\mathbb{C}^{n+1}$ . By Schur's lemma, there is a unique (up to scaling)  $\Gamma$ -invariant positive definite Hermitian form. It can be checked that

$$Q_0(x_0, \dots, x_n) = \sum_{i=0}^n |x_i|^2 + \sum_{0 \leq i < j \leq n} |x_i - x_j|^2 = (n+2) \sum_{i=0}^n |x_i|^2 - \left| \sum_{i=0}^n x_i \right|^2$$

is invariant under  $\Gamma$ , hence  $z(Z_0) = Q_0$ . In general, we just have to find a matrix  $\gamma$  such that  $Z_0 \cdot \gamma^{-\top} = Z$ ; then

$$z(Z) = z(Z_0 \cdot \gamma^{-\top}) = Q_0 \cdot \gamma^{-\top}.$$

Note that  $Z_0 \cdot \gamma^{-\top} = \sum_j P_{0,j} \gamma$  if  $Z_0 = \sum_j P_{0,j}$  and we think of the  $P_{0,j}$  as row vectors. So if  $Z = \sum_j P_j$ , then the rows of  $\gamma$  are coordinate vectors for the first  $n+1$  points in  $Z$ , scaled in such a way that their sum is a coordinate vector for the last point.

## 5. REDUCTION OF POINT CLUSTERS

We can now define when a point cluster is reduced.

**Definition 13.** Let  $Z \in \mathcal{Z}_m^{\text{st}}(\mathbb{R})$ . We say that  $Z$  is *LLL-reduced*, resp., *Minkowski-reduced*, if the positive definite real quadratic form corresponding to  $z(Z)$  is LLL-reduced, resp., Minkowski-reduced.

By definition, there is an essentially unique Minkowski-reduced representative in the  $\text{SL}(n+1, \mathbb{Z})$ -orbit of a given point cluster  $Z \in \mathcal{Z}_m^{\text{st}}(\mathbb{R})$ . On the other hand, for computational purposes, it is usually more convenient to work with LLL-reduced representatives. In order to find an LLL-reduced representative of  $Z$ 's orbit, we compute the covariant  $Q = z(Z)$ . Then we use the LLL algorithm [3] to find  $\gamma \in \text{SL}(n+1, \mathbb{Z})$  such that  $Q \cdot \gamma$  is LLL-reduced. Then  $Z \cdot \gamma$  is an LLL-reduced representative of the orbit of  $Z$ .

**Example 14.** We can use our results to reduce pencils of quadrics in three variables whose generic member is smooth. These correspond to four points in general position in  $\mathbb{P}^2$ . We illustrate the method with a concrete example. Let

$$\begin{aligned} Q_1(x, y, z) &= 857211194051x^2 - 10879213981695xy - 1296007209476xz \\ &\quad + 34518126244996y^2 + 8224075847095yz + 489854396055z^2 \\ Q_2(x, y, z) &= 2274418654562x^2 - 28865567091425xy - 3438665984061xz \\ &\quad + 91586146842213y^2 + 21820750429746yz + 1299719350945z^2 \end{aligned}$$

be a pair of quadrics. We first determine a good basis of the pencil spanned by  $Q_1$  and  $Q_2$  by reducing the binary cubic

$$\det(xM_1 + yM_2) = 27348x^3 + 215720x^2y + 567184xy^2 + 497080y^3$$

with the approach described in [5]. Here  $M_1$  and  $M_2$  are the matrices of second partial derivatives of  $Q_1$  and  $Q_2$ , respectively. This suggests the new basis

$$Q'_1 = -21Q_1 + 8Q_2, \quad Q'_2 = -8Q_1 + 3Q_2$$

with already somewhat smaller coefficients; the new binary cubic is

$$-4x^3 + 88x^2y + 112xy^2 - 24y^3.$$

Now we find the four points of intersection numerically. We obtain

$$\begin{aligned} P_1 &= (0.3038054131 + 0.0003625989i : -0.0712511408 + 0.0000571409i : 1) \\ P_2 &= (0.3038054131 - 0.0003625989i : -0.0712511408 - 0.0000571409i : 1) \\ P_3 &= (0.3038639670 + 0.0003672580i : -0.0712419135 + 0.0000578751i : 1) \\ P_4 &= (0.3038639670 - 0.0003672580i : -0.0712419135 - 0.0000578751i : 1) \end{aligned}$$

and from this a matrix  $\gamma \in \mathrm{SL}(3, \mathbb{C})$  that brings these points in standard position:

$$\gamma^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} -13584.01 - 1762.69i & 3186.66 + 407.04i & -44719.72 - 5748.66i \\ 8318.54 + 10882.75i & -1945.84 - 2556.21i & 27338.35 + 35854.08i \\ 14176.55 + 2104.80i & -3324.73 - 486.76i & 46662.58 + 6870.37i \end{pmatrix}$$

From this, we obtain a matrix representing  $z(P_1 + P_2 + P_3 + P_4)$  as

$$\bar{\gamma} \begin{pmatrix} 3 & -1 & -1 \\ -1 & 3 & -1 \\ -1 & -1 & 3 \end{pmatrix} \gamma^\top = \begin{pmatrix} 241474533625.0 & -1532325529959.9 & -182541212588.9 \\ -1532325529959.9 & 9723681808257.5 & 1158352212636.4 \\ -182541212588.9 & 1158352212636.4 & 137990925143.2 \end{pmatrix}$$

(For the actual computation, more precision is needed than indicated by the numbers above.) An LLL computation applied to this Gram matrix suggests the transformation given by

$$g = \begin{pmatrix} 3780 & 19276 & -12561 \\ -889 & -4515 & 2953 \\ 12463 & 63400 & -41405 \end{pmatrix}$$

and indeed, if we apply the corresponding substitution to  $Q'_1$  and  $Q'_2$ , we obtain the nice and small quadrics

$$2x^2 - xy + xz + 2z^2 \quad \text{and} \quad -2xz + 3y^2 - yz + 2z^2.$$

## 6. REDUCTION OF TERNARY FORMS

In this section, we apply the reduction theory of point clusters to ternary forms. The idea is to associate to a ternary form, or rather, to the plane curve it defines, a stable point cluster in a covariant way. This should be a purely geometric construction working over any base field of characteristic zero.

We will only consider irreducible ternary forms  $F$  of degree  $d$ . Assume that the curve defined by  $F$  has  $r$  nodes and no other singularities; then its genus is

$$g = \frac{1}{2}(d-1)(d-2) - r,$$

and by [2, Exercise IV.4.6, p. 337], the number of inflection points is

$$6(g-1) + 3d = 3d(d-2) - 6r.$$

We let  $Z(F)$  be the sum of the inflection points, counted with multiplicity. When is  $Z(F)$  stable? The first condition is that the multiplicity of any point must be less than  $d(d-2) - 2r$ . Now the multiplicity is 2 less than the order of tangency of the inflectional tangent, so it is at most  $d-2$ . Hence the condition is satisfied if  $d-2 < d(d-2) - 2r$ , i.e., if  $0 < (d-1)(d-2)/2 - r = g$ . The second condition is that the multiplicities of points on a line add up to less than  $2d(d-2) - 4r$ . Since there are at most  $d$  points on the curve on a line, this sum is at most  $d(d-2)$ . Hence the condition is satisfied if  $r < d(d-2)/4$ .

In any case, if  $F$  defines a nonsingular plane curve of positive genus, then  $Z(F)$  is stable, and we can set  $z(F) = z(Z(F))$ . We then define  $F$  to be *reduced* if  $z(F)$  is reduced (i.e., if  $Z(F)$  is reduced).

**Example 15.** If  $F$  is a nonsingular cubic, then it defines a smooth curve  $C$  of genus 1, with Jacobian elliptic curve  $E$ . The 3-torsion subgroup  $E[3]$  acts on  $C$  by linear automorphisms of the ambient  $\mathbb{P}^2$ . The preimage of  $E[3]$  in  $\mathrm{SL}(3, \mathbb{C})$  is a nonabelian group  $\Gamma$  of order 27 that acts irreducibly on  $\mathbb{C}^3$ . Therefore there is a unique  $Q \in \mathcal{H}_{2, \mathbb{C}}$  that is invariant under the action of  $E[3]$ . This  $Q$  is then  $z(F)$ . If we know explicit matrices  $M_T \in \mathrm{SL}(3, \mathbb{C})$  for  $T \in E[3]$  that give the action of  $E[3]$  on  $\mathbb{P}^2$ , then we can compute a representative of  $Q$  as a Hermitian matrix as

$$Q = \sum_{T \in E[3]} \overline{M_T}^\top M_T,$$

compare [1, § 6].

We get the same result if we consider the cluster of inflection points on  $C$ , since this cluster (which is a principal homogeneous space for the action of  $E[3]$ ) is invariant under the same group  $\Gamma$ . Numerically, however, the method using the action of  $E[3]$  seems to be more stable. See [1, § 6] for some more discussion and details.

In general, we have to find the inflection points numerically and then find the minimum of  $D_{\tilde{Z}}$ , also numerically. This can be done by a steepest descent method. We will illustrate this by reducing a ternary quartic.

**Example 16.** Let

$$\begin{aligned} F(x, y, z) = & 390908548757x^4 - 1083699236751x^3y + 835578482044x^3z \\ & + 1126610184312x^2y^2 - 1737329379412x^2yz + 669777678687x^2z^2 \\ & - 520542386163xy^3 + 1204081445939xy^2z - 928398396271xyz^2 \\ & + 238611653627xz^3 + 90192376558y^4 - 278168756247y^3z \\ & + 321720059816y^2z^2 - 165373310794yz^3 + 31877479532z^4. \end{aligned}$$

We compute the inflection points as the intersection points of  $F = 0$  and  $H = 0$ , where  $H$  is the Hessian of  $F$ . This gives 24 coordinate vectors and defines the point cluster  $\tilde{Z}$ . We then use a steepest descent method to find (an approximation to)  $z(\tilde{Z})$ , represented by the matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} 367751.9942 & -254909.8720 & 196557.1210 \\ -254909.8720 & 176692.9800 & -136245.3974 \\ 196557.1210 & -136245.3974 & 105056.8935 \end{pmatrix}.$$

LLL applied to this Gram matrix suggests the transformation

$$\begin{pmatrix} -7 & 23 & -89 \\ -34 & 118 & -443 \\ -31 & 110 & -408 \end{pmatrix},$$

which turns  $F$  into

$$3x^4 - 3x^3y + 3x^3z + x^2y^2 - 2x^2z^2 + xy^2z - xyz^2 - 2xz^3 + 3y^4 - 3y^3z + y^2z^2 - 3z^4.$$

#### REFERENCES

- [1] J.E. CREMONA, T.A. FISHER and M. STOLL, *Minimisation and reduction of 2-, 3- and 4-coverings of elliptic curves*, Preprint (2009). arXiv:0908.1741v1 [math.NT]
- [2] R. HARTSHORNE, *Algebraic Geometry*, Springer GTM **52**, corr. 3rd printing (1983).
- [3] A.K. LENSTRA, H.W. LENSTRA and L. LOVÁSZ, *Factoring polynomials with rational coefficients*, Math. Ann. **261**, no. 4, 515–534 (1982).
- [4] D. MUMFORD, J. FOGARTY and F. KIRWAN, *Geometric invariant theory*, 3rd enl. ed., Erg. Math. Grenzgeb. 3. Folge, vol. 34. Berlin: Springer-Verlag (1993).
- [5] M. STOLL and J.E. CREMONA, *On the reduction theory of binary forms*, J. reine angew. Math. **565**, 79–99 (2003).

MATHEMATISCHES INSTITUT, UNIVERSITÄT BAYREUTH, 95440 BAYREUTH, GERMANY.

*E-mail address:* Michael.Stoll@uni-bayreuth.de